

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/809,274	03/16/2001	Yasumi Sago	K-1968	4972
7:	590 10/18/2005	EXAMINER		
HAUPTMAN KANESAKA BERNER PATENT AGENTS, LLP 1700 Diagonal Road, Suite 310			ALEJANDRO MULERO, LUZ L	
Alexandria, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1763	

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			VI
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/809,274	SAGO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Luz L. Alejandro	1783	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	;
Period for Reply		, i	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DESCRIPTION OF THE MAILING DESCRIPTION OF THE MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 136(a). In no event, however, may a 1 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI 1e, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this commun BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		; `	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 A	Nuquet 2005		
<u>-</u>	s action is non-final.		
<u>/=</u>		tors, prospection as to the mor	ito io
		<u>-</u>	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1955 C.L	7. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims		<i>:</i>	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-10,12-14 and 19-26</u> is/are pen	ding in the application	1.	
		from consideration	
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-3,8,12-14,19,20,2</u> 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.	3 and 23 Israte Withdrawn	TOTT CONSIDERATION.	
	nicated		
6) Claim(s) <u>5-7, 9-10, 21-22, 24, and 26</u> is/are re	•		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	* *	0.	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	`.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er	•	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		by the Examiner	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			121/d)
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			
Trib The datif of declaration is objected to by the L	.xammer. Note the attache	a office Action of form 1 10-16	<i>'</i> ∠ .
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	,	•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	₹. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		÷.
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documen	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		Application No	
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the price		•	e
application from the International Burea	= -	•	•
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	,	received .	
	•	•	
Attachment(s)		••	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	3) 5) ☐ Notice of 6) ☐ Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
S. Paleni and Trademark Office		 ·	
			1 - / 3

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

Claims 1-3, 8, 12-14, 19-20, 23, and 25 withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 7/5/02.

Claim Objections

Claims 10 and 18 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.

Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. With respect to claim 10, note that the independent claim requires that the front board is contacted to the main body, however, the dependent claims requires that a sheet be disposed between the main body and the front board. Therefore, the dependent claim fails to further limit the independent claim. Regarding claim 18, note the independent claim already requires such limitation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 1763

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear how a sheet can be disposed between the main body and the front board, as required by the dependent claims, when the independent claim requires that the front board is contacted to the main body.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed of described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 5-7 and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lenz et al., US 5,569,356 in view of Lilleland et al., U.S. Patent 6,073,577.

Art Unit: 1763

Lenz et al. shows the invention as claimed including a plasma-enhanced processing apparatus comprising: a process chamber for processing a substrate therein having a wall; a gas introduction system that introduces process gas into the process chamber; plasma-generation means that generates plasma in said process chamber by applying energy to said process gas; a substrate holder that holds said substrate in said process chamber; and an opposite electrode disposed in said process chamber to face said substrate held by said substrate holder, and including a front board 30 facing the substrate holder, a clamping plate 34 disposed at a front side of the front board close to the substrate holder so that an area of the front board not covered by the clamping plate is exposed to plasma, and a main body 32/40 installed on the wall of the process chamber and disposed at a back side of the front board opposite to the front side, so that said front board is clamped between the clamping plate and the main body, said clamping plate being fixed so that said clamping plate presses said front board toward the main body and a back surface of the front board is contacted and pressed uniformly onto the main body, the front board being fixed to the main body by pressure of the clamping plate toward the main body with no screw penetrating the front board (see, for example, fig. 2 and its description). It is inherent to one of ordinary skill in the art that the apparatus of Lenz et al. will comprise a pumping system communicating with said process chamber for exhausting gas from said process chamber.

Lenz et al. is applied as above but does not expressly disclose a protector covering a front surface of the clamping plate and flush with the front board, so that the

Art Unit: 1763

front surface of the clamping plate is not exposed to the plasma, a sheet made of carbon inserted between the front board and the main body. Lilleland et al. discloses a plasma apparatus comprising a protector 17 covering a front surface of a clamping plate 18 which is flush with a front board of an upper electrode 10 (see, fig. 1, and col. 4-line 49 to col. 8-line 18). In view of this disclosure, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Lenz et al. so as to further comprise the claimed protector flush with the front board as taught by Lilleland et al. because this would effectively and efficiently limit the plasma damage to the upper electrode. Additionally, Lilleland et al. discloses an elastomeric joint composed of a polymer (which includes carbon) between a support frame and a silicon showerhead electrode (see abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Lenz et al. so as to include an elastomeric joint between the front board and the main body as suggested by Lilleland et al. because this allows movement between the front board and the main body in order to account for thermal expansion during processing (see abstract, lines 9-13).

Additionally, note that the configuration of the claimed protector and the front board is a matter of choice which a person of ordinary skill in the art would have found obvious absent persuasive evidence that the particular configuration of the claimed protector is significant (see In re Dailey, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966)).

With respect to claim 7, note that the front board in Lenz et al. is made of single crystal silicon (see col. 5, lines 40-42).

Art Unit: 1763

Regarding the claimed screwing torque of claim 9, the claims of the instant application are directed to the apparatus and since an apparatus is being claimed as the instant invention, the method of how the apparatus is manufactured is not considered to be the matter at hand. The apparatus of Lenz et al. can be manufactured in a variety of ways to form the apparatus as shown in the Figures and text.

Claims 21-22, 24, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lenz et al., US 5,569,356 in view of Lilleland et al., US. Patent 6,073,577 as applied to claims 5-7 and 9-10 above, and further in view of Lee et al., U.S. Patent 6,379,491.

Lenz et al. and Lilleland et al. are applied as above but do not expressly disclose a protector covering heads of the screws so that the heads of the screws are not exposed to the plasma, wherein the protector is made of quartz. Lee et al. discloses screws 130 with a quartz cap 140 thereon (see col. 1-line 66 to col. 2-line 4 and fig. 3). In view of this disclosure, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Lenz et al. modified by Lilleland et al. so as to include protective quartz caps on the screws because in such a way the screws would be protected from the negative affects of the plasma.

Additionally, note that the configuration of the claimed protector, front board, and protector is a matter of choice which a person of ordinary skill in the art would have found obvious absent persuasive evidence that the particular configuration of the claimed.

Art Unit: 1763

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 5-7, 9-10, 21-22, 24, and 26 have been considered but are not persuasive. Regarding the argument that Lilleland et al. does not disclose a clamping plate, note that indirectly the member 18 will be used to clamp the electrode without applying clamping pressure directly to the electrode.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Luz L. Alejandro whose telephone number is 571-272-

Art Unit: 1763

1430. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Parviz Hassanzadeh can be reached on 571-272-1435. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Luz L. Alejandro
Primary Examiner

Page 8

Art Unit 1763

October 17, 2005